

Singularity of spherically-symmetric spacetime in quintessence/phantom dark energy universe

Shin'ichi Nojiri¹ and Sergei D. Odintsov^{2*}

¹*Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

²*Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA) and Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (IEEC-CSIC), Campus UAB, Facultat de Ciències, Torre C5-Par-2a pl, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain*

We consider ideal fluid and equivalent scalar field dark energy universes where all four known types of finite-time, future singularities occur at some parameter values. It is demonstrated that pressure/energy density of such quintessence/phantom dark energy diverges in spherically-symmetric spacetime at finite radius or at the center. This may cause the instability of the relativistic star or black hole in such universe. The resolution of the problem via the extra modification of the equation of state is briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x, 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the late-time universe acceleration brought to the playground the number of dark energy (DE) models with the effective equation of state (EoS) parameter w being very close to -1 in accordance with observational data. It is known that phantom/quintessence models lead to the violation of all/some of the energy conditions. Such models unlike to Λ CDM with $w = -1$ lead to the number of quite surprising consequences in the remote future. For instance, phantom DEs are characterized by the future Big Rip singularity[1]. Some of quintessence DEs bring the universe to softer finite-time singularity in the future. Such finite-time future singularities may represent so-called sudden singularities [2, 3] or some other singularity types which are classified in ref.[4]. It is evident that the presence of finite-time future singularity in the course of the universe evolution may show up at the current epoch. One example has been given in ref.[5] where it was conjectured that sudden singularity[3] of specific modified gravity DE may make the relativistic star formation process being unstable. The resolution of the problem is to introduce the higher-order curvature terms [3] relevant only at the early universe in such a way that future singularity disappears.

In the present work we consider the specific dark fluid which contains all four known finite-time singularity types[4]. The reformulation of it as scalar DE model with the same FRW asymptotic solutions for the corresponding scalar potentials is also made. The energy density/pressure of such singular DE may become divergent in the spherically-symmetric spacetime at finite radius or at the center. In a sense, that is the way the finite-time singularity manifests itself as singularity of spherically-symmetric space. This may lead to the instability of relativistic stars (in the same way as for modified gravity DE model in ref.[5]) or instability of black holes located in such dark energy universe. It indicates that number of current DEs with such properties may be problematic for realistic description of current accelerating universe. Some extra EoS modification by the terms relevant at the very early universe may be necessary in order to resolve this problem. Such modification is discussed briefly in the last section. The reconstruction method to find the specific dark energy responsible for any singularity of spherically-symmetric space is also presented.

II. FINITE-TIME SINGULARITIES IN THE DARK FLUID UNIVERSE WITH THE EXPLICIT EQUATION OF STATE

In the present section we show the appearance of the finite-time singularities in the illustrative but sufficiently realistic dark fluid with the following equation of state (EoS):

$$p = -\rho + A\rho^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

with constant A and α . We work in the spatially flat FRW space-time

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \sum_{i=1,2,3} (dx^i)^2. \quad (2)$$

* Also at Center of Theor. Physics, TSPU, Tomsk

Then since $H = \dot{a}/a$, the conservation law in the FRW universe, $\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0$, gives $\frac{d\rho}{3A\rho^\alpha} = -\frac{da}{a}$, which can be integrated as

$$a = \begin{cases} a_0 e^{-\rho^{1-\alpha}/3A(1-\alpha)}, & \alpha \neq 1 \\ a_0 \rho^{-1/3A}, & \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Here a_0 is a constant of integration. In case $\alpha = 1$, the EoS (1) reduces to the usual perfect fluid with constant EoS parameter $w = -1 + A$. The universe is regular in the future when $w \geq -1$ but there appears the Big Rip singularity when $w < -1$ for the constant EoS parameter dark fluid.

The solution of the FRW equation

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2} H^2 = \rho, \quad (4)$$

is given by

$$a = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_0 t^{2/3A}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A > 0 \\ \tilde{a}_0 (t_0 - t)^{-2/3A}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

When $\alpha \neq 1$, by defining a new variable N , which is called e-folding, the FRW equation (4) can be rewritten as

$$N^{-1/2(1-\alpha)} \dot{N} = \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{3} \right)^{1/2} (-3A(1-\alpha))^{1/2(1-\alpha)}. \quad (6)$$

Then in case $1/2(1-\alpha) = 1$ or $\alpha = 1/2$, we find

$$a = \tilde{a}_0 e^{N_0 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A t}{2}}}. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, when $\alpha \neq 1/2$,

$$\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} N^{\frac{1-2\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A (t - t_0)}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Then the scale factor a is given by

$$a = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_0 e^{Ct^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}}}, & C \equiv \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} (-3A)^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}} \\ \text{or } \tilde{a}_0 e^{\tilde{C}(t_0-t)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}}}, & \tilde{C} \equiv \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} (-3A)^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}} \end{cases}. \quad (9)$$

For the first case in (9), one may put $t_0 = 0$ without loss of the generality. We may summarize the behavior of a as follows,

$$a = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_0 t^{2/3A}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A > 0 \\ \tilde{a}_0 (t_0 - t)^{-2/3A}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A < 0 \\ \tilde{a}_0 e^{N_0 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A t}{2}}}, & \text{when } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad A < 0 \\ \tilde{a}_0 e^{Ct^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}}}, & C \equiv \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha \right)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} (-3A)^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}} \\ \text{or } \tilde{a}_0 e^{\tilde{C}(t_0-t)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}}}, & \tilde{C} \equiv \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{3} \right)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}} (-3A)^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}} \end{cases} \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq 1, \frac{1}{2} \quad (10)$$

Then the Hubble rate is given by

$$H = \begin{cases} \frac{2A}{3t}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A > 0 \\ -\frac{2A}{3(t_0 - t)}, & \text{when } \alpha = 1, \quad A < 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa N_0 A}{2} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A t}{2}}, & \text{when } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad A < 0 \\ \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} C t^{1/(1-2\alpha)}, & \text{when } \alpha \neq 1, \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{or } \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha} \tilde{C} (t_0 - t)^{1/(1-2\alpha)} & \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Now one can describe the future, finite-time singularities of the universe filled with above dark fluid. When $\alpha < 0$, there occurs Type II or sudden future singularity [2, 3]. When $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and $1/(1-2\alpha)$ is not an integer, there occurs Type IV singularity. When $\alpha = 0$, there is no any singularity. When $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $A < 0$, there appears Type I or Big Rip type singularity. When $\alpha > 1$, there occurs Type III singularity.

The above general classification of singularities was first given in [4]:

- Type I (“Big Rip”) : For $t \rightarrow t_s$, $a \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ and $|p| \rightarrow \infty$. This also includes the case of ρ, p being finite at t_s .
- Type II (“sudden”) : For $t \rightarrow t_s$, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho \rightarrow \rho_s$ and $|p| \rightarrow \infty$
- Type III : For $t \rightarrow t_s$, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ and $|p| \rightarrow \infty$
- Type IV : For $t \rightarrow t_s$, $a \rightarrow a_s$, $\rho \rightarrow 0$, $|p| \rightarrow 0$ and higher derivatives of H diverge. This also includes the case in which p (ρ) or both of p and ρ tend to some finite values, while higher derivatives of H diverge.

Here, $t_s, a_s (\neq 0)$ and ρ_s are constants.

In case of Type II singularity, where $\alpha < 0$, H vanishes as $H \sim (t_0 - t)^{1/(1-2\alpha)}$ when $t \rightarrow t_0$ and therefore we find ρ vanishes as it follows from the FRW equation (4). Then, near the singularity, the EoS (1) is reduced to

$$p \sim A\rho^\alpha . \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, in case of Type I singularity, where $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $A < 0$, H and therefore ρ diverge when $t \rightarrow t_0$. Then the EoS (1) reduces to

$$p \sim -\rho \text{ or } p \sim -(1-A)\rho . \quad (13)$$

In case of Type III singularity, where $\alpha > 1$, H and ρ diverge when $t \rightarrow t_0$ and therefore the EoS (1) reduces to

$$p \sim A\rho^\alpha . \quad (14)$$

Hence, we illustrated the occurrence of all four types of future singularity for the above dark fluid. Actually, the corresponding singular asymptotics of such as well as of the more complicated EoS dark fluid are given in ref.[4].

III. FINITE-TIME SINGULARITIES IN THE SCALAR FIELD DARK ENERGY UNIVERSE

We now consider the scalar-tensor theory, which also leads to the above singularities. One starts from the following action for the scalar-tensor theory;

$$S = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{R}{2\kappa^2} - \frac{\omega(\phi)}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right\} . \quad (15)$$

Here $\omega(\phi)$ and $V(\phi)$ are some functions of the scalar field ϕ . Note that scalar field may be always redefined so that kinetic function is absorbed. In fact, in case $\omega(\phi)$ is positive definite, if we redefine the scalar field ϕ by $\varphi = \int d\phi \sqrt{|\omega(\phi)|}$, we obtain

$$S = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{R}{2\kappa^2} \mp \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \tilde{V}(\varphi) \right\} . \quad (16)$$

Here the potential $\tilde{V}(\varphi)$ is defined by $\tilde{V}(\varphi) \equiv V(\phi(\varphi))$. The minus (plus) sign in $\mp$ corresponds to positive (negative) $\omega(\phi)$.

Let us consider the theory in which $V(\phi)$ and $\omega(\phi)$ are given by

$$\omega(\phi) = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} f'(\phi) , \quad V(\phi) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left[3f(\phi)^2 + f'(\phi) \right] , \quad (17)$$

where $f(\phi)$ is a proper function of ϕ . Then the following solution is found [6]

$$\phi = t , \quad H(t) = f(t) . \quad (18)$$

For the action (15), the Hubble rate H in (11) could be realized by the following scalar models:

$$\omega(\phi) = \frac{3A}{2\kappa^2\phi_\pm^2}, \quad V(\phi) = \frac{\frac{27A^2}{4} - \frac{3A}{2}}{\phi^2}, \quad \text{when } \alpha = 1 \quad (19)$$

$$\omega(\phi) = -\frac{3}{2}N_0A^2e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A\phi}{2}}, \quad V(\phi) = \frac{3A^2}{4} \left(3N_0^2e^{-\sqrt{3}\kappa A\phi} + N_0e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A\phi}{2}} \right), \quad \text{when } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad A < 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\omega(\phi) = -\frac{4(1-\alpha)C\phi_\pm^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}}}{1-2\alpha}, \quad V(\phi) = \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-2\alpha)^2\kappa^2} \left\{ 6(1-\alpha)C^2\phi_\pm^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} + C\phi_\pm^{\frac{2\alpha}{1-2\alpha}} \right\}, \quad \text{when } \alpha \neq 1, \frac{1}{2} \quad (21)$$

Here $\phi_+ = \phi$ and $\phi_- = t_0 - \phi$. In (19), ϕ_+ (ϕ_-) when $A > 0$ ($A < 0$).

Let us investigate the form of $\tilde{V}(\varphi)$ in (16) when we rewrite the action (15).

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\pm \frac{3A}{2\kappa^2}} \ln \frac{\phi_\pm}{\phi_0}, \quad \tilde{V}(\varphi) = \left(\frac{27A^2}{4} - \frac{3A}{2} \right) \frac{e^{-2\kappa\varphi\sqrt{\pm \frac{2}{3A}}}}{\phi_0^2} \quad \text{when } \alpha = 1 \quad (22)$$

$$\varphi = -\frac{\sqrt{\mp 8N_0}}{\kappa} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa A}{4}\phi}, \quad \tilde{V}(\varphi) = \frac{3A^2}{4} \left(\frac{3\kappa^4}{64}\varphi^4 \mp \frac{\kappa^2}{8}\varphi \right) \quad \text{when } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \quad (23)$$

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\pm 2C} \ln \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}, \quad \tilde{V}(\varphi) = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left\{ \frac{3C^2}{2\phi_0^4} e^{-\frac{4\varphi}{\sqrt{\pm 2C}}} + \frac{C}{\phi_0^3} e^{-\frac{3\varphi}{\sqrt{\pm 2C}}} \right\} \quad \text{when } \alpha = \frac{3}{4} \quad (24)$$

$$\varphi = \mp \sqrt{\pm 2\tilde{C}} \ln \frac{t_0 - \phi}{\phi_0}, \quad \tilde{V}(\varphi) = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left\{ \frac{3\tilde{C}^2}{2\phi_0^4} e^{\frac{4\varphi}{\sqrt{\pm 2\tilde{C}}}} + \frac{\tilde{C}}{\phi_0^3} e^{\frac{3\varphi}{\sqrt{\pm 2\tilde{C}}}} \right\} \quad \text{when } \alpha = \frac{3}{4} \quad (25)$$

Here $\phi_+ = \phi$ and $\phi_- = t_0 - \phi$, again, ϕ_0 is the constant of the integration, the upper (lower) sign corresponds to $-$ ($+$) sign in (16). In (22), (23), (24), and (25), the upper (lower) sign corresponds to $A > 0$ ($A < 0$), $N_0 < 0$ ($N_0 > 0$), $C > 0$ ($C < 0$), $\tilde{C} > 0$ ($\tilde{C} < 0$), respectively.

We also find when $\alpha \neq 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= \frac{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)C}}{3-4\alpha} \phi^{\frac{3-4\alpha}{2-4\alpha}}, \\ \tilde{V}(\varphi) &= \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-2\alpha)^2\kappa^2} \left\{ 6(1-\alpha)C^2 \left(\frac{(3-4\alpha)\varphi}{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)C}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3-4\alpha}} + C \left(\frac{(3-4\alpha)\varphi}{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)C}} \right)^{\frac{4\alpha}{3-4\alpha}} \right\}, \\ \varphi &= -\frac{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)\tilde{C}}}{3-4\alpha} (t_0 - \phi)^{\frac{3-4\alpha}{2-4\alpha}}, \\ \tilde{V}(\varphi) &= \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(1-2\alpha)^2\kappa^2} \left\{ 6(1-\alpha)\tilde{C}^2 \left(-\frac{(3-4\alpha)\varphi}{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)\tilde{C}}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3-4\alpha}} + \tilde{C} \left(-\frac{(3-4\alpha)\varphi}{4\sqrt{\mp(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)\tilde{C}}} \right)^{\frac{4\alpha}{3-4\alpha}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The upper (lower) sign corresponds to $-$ ($+$) sign in (16) and $-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}C > 0$ ($-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}C < 0$) in (26), $-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}\tilde{C} > 0$ ($-\frac{4(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}\tilde{C} < 0$) in (27).

Note that the such scalar theory exactly reproduces the singularity and/or behavior in the scale factor (10) and the Hubble rate (11), which is generated by the EoS (1) of previous section dark fluid. This shows that such a perfect fluid with the EOS (1) can be realized by the scalar field with specific potential. The important lesson of this presentation is that big class of ideal fluid as well as scalar field dark energies brings the future universe to the finite-time singularity of one of the four types under consideration.

IV. SINGULARITIES OF SPHERICALLY-SYMMETRIC SPACETIME FILLED WITH DARK ENERGY

In this section we show that finite-time singularities of dark energy models in above two sections manifest themselves as radius singularities of spherically-symmetric spacetime filled with such dark energies. In [5], it has been pointed that curvature singularity is realized inside the relativistic star for a viable class of $f(R)$ -gravities (for review of viable, realistic models of that sort, see [7]). Since such spherically-symmetric solution with a naked singularity is inconsistent, this result indicates that large star (or even planet) could not be formed, or such a relativistic star could be unstable in such a theory.

It is known that viable modified gravity also shows all four above types of finite-time singularity[3]. Hence, it is natural to expect that qualitatively similar situation should be typical for any dark energy which brings the universe to finite-time singularity. Motivated with these observations, we investigate the EoS dark fluid, which generates curvature singularity in spherically-symmetric spacetime. Especially in this section, we investigate the singularity, which is generated for a finite (non-vanishing) value of the radius. The singularity at the origin (vanishing radius) is investigated in the next section.

Let us first consider what kind of (perfect) fluid could generate a singularity. We concentrate on the singularity which occurs for a finite radius for spherically symmetric solution. Assume the metric has the following form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(r)} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 . \quad (28)$$

Here $d\Omega_2^2$ expresses the metric of two-dimensional sphere. Eq.(28) expresses the arbitrary spherically symmetric and static space-time also in the presence of some matter, that corresponds to the inside of the star or planet. Then the Einstein equations have the following form:

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\lambda}{dr} + \frac{e^{2\lambda} - 1}{r^2} = \kappa^2 \rho e^{2\lambda} , \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\nu}{dr} - \frac{e^{2\lambda} - 1}{r^2} = \kappa^2 p_r e^{2\lambda} , \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{d^2\nu}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{d\nu}{dr} - \frac{d\lambda}{dr} \right) \left(\frac{d\nu}{dr} + \frac{1}{r} \right) = \kappa^2 p_a e^{2\lambda} . \quad (31)$$

Here ρ is the energy density and p_r and p_a are the radial and angular components of the pressure. In the following, as for the usual perfect fluid, we assume $p_r = p_a$. If one does not impose this assumption, we can consider general types of singularity. By combining (30) and (31) and deleting $p = p_r = p_a$, it follows

$$0 = -\frac{d^2\nu}{dr^2} - \left(\frac{d\nu}{dr} \right)^2 + \frac{d\nu}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{d\lambda}{dr} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\lambda}{dr} - \frac{e^{2\lambda} - 1}{r^2} . \quad (32)$$

The following kind of singularities at $r = r_0$ may be now considered:

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \ln \frac{r - r_0}{r_0} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n (r - r_0)^{n-1} , \quad \nu(r) = \nu_0 + \nu_1 \ln \frac{r - r_0}{r_0} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \nu_n (r - r_0)^{n-1} . \quad (33)$$

By substituting (33) into (32), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\nu_1 - \nu_1^2 + \nu_1 \lambda_1}{(r - r_0)^2} + \frac{\frac{\nu_1 + \lambda_1}{r} - 2\nu_1 \nu_2 + \nu_1 \lambda_2 + \nu_2 \lambda_1}{r - r_0} \\ & - \nu_2^2 - \lambda_2 \nu_2 + \frac{\nu_2 + \lambda_2}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}((r - r_0)) = -\frac{e^{2\lambda_0 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n (r - r_0)^{n-1}}}{r^2} \left(\frac{r - r_0}{r_0} \right)^{2\lambda_1} . \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since the l.h.s. in (34) contains only the power $(r - r_0)^m$, where m is an integer greater than or equal to -2 , $2\lambda_1$ should be also an integer greater than or equal to -2 . Furthermore if we assume $2\lambda_1 = -2$, from the coefficients of $(r - r_0)^{-2}$, we find $0 = \nu_1^2 + e^{2\lambda_0}$, which is inconsistent since the r.h.s. is positive definite. Therefore $2\lambda_1$ must be an integer greater than or equal to -1 ; $2\lambda_1 \geq -1$. Then from the coefficients of $(r - r_0)^{-2}$, again, we find

$$0 = \nu_1 (1 - \nu_1 + \lambda_1) , \quad \text{that is, } \nu_1 = 0 \text{ or } \nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1 . \quad (35)$$

If $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 = 0$, there is no singularity and we do not consider this case. In case $\nu_1 = 0$ and $2\lambda_1 = -1$, from the coefficients of $(r - r_0)^{-2}$ in (34), we find $-\frac{1}{2r_0} - \frac{\nu_2}{2} - \frac{e^{2\lambda_0}}{r_0} = 0$. In case $\nu_1 = 0$ and $2\lambda_1 \geq 1$, one finds

$$\nu_2 = -\frac{1}{r_0} . \quad (36)$$

The case $\nu_1 \neq 0$ and $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1 = 1/2$ corresponds to the black hole, where r_0 corresponds to the horizon radius and it follows $-\frac{3}{2}\nu_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{e^{2\lambda_0}}{r_0} = 0$. In case $\nu_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$, we find $\frac{1}{r_0} - 2\nu_2 + \lambda_2 = 0$. In case $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1 \geq 3/2$, one gets $\frac{\nu_1 + \lambda_1}{r_0} - 2\nu_1 \nu_2 + \nu_1 \lambda_2 + \nu_2 \lambda_1 = 0$.

We now investigate how ρ and p behave. In case $\nu_1 = 0$ and $2\lambda_1 = -1$, from Eqs. (29) and (30), ρ and p are not singular and behave as $\rho \sim -p \sim \frac{1}{\kappa^2 r_0^2}$. Therefore there could not be the singularity at $r = r_0$. In case $\nu_1 = 0$ and $2\lambda_1 = n \geq 1$, Eqs. (29) and (30) give $\rho \sim \frac{2ne^{-2\lambda_0} r_0^{n-1}}{\kappa^2 (r-r_0)^{n+1}}$, $p \sim -\frac{e^{-2\lambda_0} r_0^{n-2}}{\kappa^2 r_0 (r-r_0)^n}$. Here relation (36) is used. Then ρ and p satisfy the following asymptotic equation of state (EoS):

$$p \sim -C\rho^{\frac{n}{n+1}}. \quad (37)$$

Here C is a positive constant. Since ρ and p diverge at $r = r_0$, there is a curvature singularity at $r = r_0$. As we will see soon, the EoS (37) corresponds to that in (14), which generates type III singularity.

In case $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\nu_1 = 1$ case, we find $\rho \sim \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \left(\frac{2e^{-2\lambda_0} \lambda_2}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r_0^2} - \frac{e^{-2\lambda_0}}{r_0^2} \right)$, $p \sim \frac{2e^{-2\lambda_0}}{\kappa^2 r_0 (r-r_0)}$. Here ρ is finite although p diverges at $r = r_0$. The divergence of p generates the curvature singularity. The EoS has the following form: $p(\rho - \rho_0) \sim \text{const.}$

In case $2\lambda_1 = n \geq 0$ and $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1$, we find

$$\rho \sim \frac{ne^{-2\lambda_0} r_0^{n-1}}{\kappa^2 (r-r_0)^{n+1}}, \quad p \sim \frac{(n+2)e^{-2\lambda_0} r_0^{n-1}}{\kappa^2 (r-r_0)^{n+1}}. \quad (38)$$

and therefore the following asymptotic EoS:

$$p = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) \rho. \quad (39)$$

The divergence of ρ and p at $r = r_0$ means the curvature singularity. Since the EoS parameter $w \equiv p/\rho = 1 + 2/n$ is positive, the EoS does not generate any finite-time singularity and corresponds to $\alpha = 1$ and $-1 + A = 1 + 2/n$.

Hence, rather general case with the singularity occurrence for finite r is investigated. We found here essentially two types of singularity expressed by the EoS fluid (37) or (39). These EoS fluids could be compared with the asymptotic EoS dark fluid generating the finite time singularity (12), (13), and (14). First, one notices that EoS fluid (39) for finite radius singularity does not exist for dark fluid generating the finite time singularity as in (12), (13), and (14). (However, it could be that other dark fluid generating future singularity shows up radius singularity in this example). Eq.(12) has a similar structure but in (12), $w \equiv p/\rho \leq -1$ although $w > 1$ in (39). The EoS (37) has a similar structure with those in (12) and (13) if we identify

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{n+1}. \quad (40)$$

In case of (12), α is negative but in case of (14), α is positive. Then the fluid with EoS (14), which generates type III singularity, may generate finite radius singularity. Note, however, we do not have the explicit proof that the fluid generating finite-time singularity always generate finite radius singularity, and vice versa. That depends also from the distance between energy scale which is typical for future singularity and the star/black hole formation energy scale. The important lesson of our study is that dark fluid generating finite-time singularity may manifest it also as radius singularity of spherically-symmetric solution (i.e. stars, black holes, etc).

In the above analysis, it is assumed that $\lambda(r)$ and $\nu(r)$ are real and therefore $e^{2\lambda(r)}$ and $e^{2\nu(r)}$ are positive. As we have only investigated the local behavior, the global structure of the spacetime is not so clear. Since $e^{2\lambda(r)}$ and $e^{2\nu(r)}$ are positive, however, the number of the horizons must be even and in principle, the singularity can be naked. If there is a usual matter, whose distribution is also spherically symmetric, as a main component of the star, the singularity might be hidden inside the matter. If the radius of the matter becomes smaller than the radius of the singularity, however, due to the strong gravitational force near the singularity, the matter can shrink very rapidly, which may produce the strong radiation, say, X-ray.

If $e^{2\lambda(r)}$ and/or $e^{2\nu(r)}$ are negative near the singularity, however, there must be horizon, where $e^{2\lambda(r)}$ and/or $e^{2\nu(r)}$ vanish, since $e^{2\lambda(r)}$ and $e^{2\nu(r)}$ are positive in a region far from the star. We should note that if $e^{2\lambda_0}$ is negative, $0 = \nu_1^2 + e^{2\lambda_0}$ has non-trivial solution even if $2\lambda_1 = -2$. In case of (38), where $2\lambda_1 = n \geq 0$ and $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1$, if $e^{2\lambda_0}$ is negative, ρ becomes negative and inconsistent. Therefore the singularity with $2\lambda_1 = n \geq 0$ and $\nu_1 = \lambda_1 + 1$ could be forbidden in some cases (compare with singularities classification in ref.[8]).

V. CENTRAL SINGULARITY OF SPHERICALLY-SYMMETRIC SPACETIME FILLED BY DARK ENERGY

In the previous section, we have investigated the singularity, which occurs at the finite (non-vanishing) radius. In this section, we consider the singularity at the center of the spherically-symmetric solution and clarify what kind of

EoS dark fluid could generate such a singularity. It is also shown that there are some exact solutions. Since such a solution with a naked singularity is inconsistent, even if the singularity exists at the center of the star, this result indicates that large star/planet could not be formed, or such a relativistic star is unstable.

Assume that the energy density ρ and the pressure of the perfect fluid only depend on the radial coordinate r , then the conservation law gives

$$0 = \frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{d\nu}{dr} (p + \rho) = 0, \quad (41)$$

which could be derived by using (29), (30), and (31). Especially for the perfect fluid with a constant EoS parameter w : $p = w\rho$, we find $\rho = \rho_0 e^{-\frac{1+w}{w}\nu(r)}$. When ν is a monotonically increasing function of the radial coordinate r , as in the Schwarzschild metric, ρ becomes a monotonically decreasing function of r if $w > 0$ or $w < 0$ but ρ becomes a monotonically increasing function if $0 > w > -1$. Then the gravity effectively acts as a repulsive force when $0 > w > -1$.

For later convenience, it is convenient to write the EoS in the following form:

$$\rho + p = F(p). \quad (42)$$

Here $F(p)$ is an appropriate function. Then (41) gives

$$\nu = \int \frac{dp}{F(p)}. \quad (43)$$

We should note that (30) with $p_r = p = p_a$ can be rewritten as

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{r} \frac{d\nu}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left(\kappa^2 p + \frac{1}{r^2} \right)^{-1} \right\}. \quad (44)$$

Then by using (29) and (44), one can delete λ and obtain

$$0 = \frac{\frac{2}{r} \frac{d^2\nu}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{d\nu}{dr} - \frac{2}{r^3}}{\frac{2}{r} \frac{d\nu}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2}} - \frac{\kappa^2 \frac{dp}{dr} - \frac{2}{r^3}}{\kappa^2 p + \frac{1}{r^2}} - \frac{\left(\frac{2}{r} \frac{d\nu}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left(\kappa^2 p - \frac{1}{r^2} \right)}{\kappa^2 p + \frac{1}{r^2}} - \frac{1}{r}. \quad (45)$$

By using (42) and (43), we may delete ν and ρ in (45)

$$0 = \frac{-\frac{2}{rF(p)} \frac{d^2p}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{rF(p)^2} \frac{dF(p)}{dp} \left(\frac{dp}{dr} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{r^2 F(p)} \frac{dp}{dr} - \frac{2}{r^3}}{-\frac{2}{rF(p)} \frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2}} - \frac{\kappa^2 \frac{dp}{dr} - \frac{2}{r^3}}{\kappa^2 p + \frac{1}{r^2}} - \frac{2}{F(p)} \frac{dp}{dr} - \frac{-2\kappa^2 \frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{\kappa^2 F(p)}{r}}{\kappa^2 p + \frac{1}{r^2}}. \quad (46)$$

Eq.(46) can be regarded as the differential equation for $p = p(r)$ for a given $F(p)$. With known solution of (46) with respect to $p = p(r)$, the explicit form of ν may be found by using (43). Then by using (44), we obtain λ . Finally Eq.(31) might determine some of the integration constants.

As an example, we consider the perfect fluid with a constant equation of state (EoS) parameter w . It follows $F(p) = \alpha p$, $\alpha \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{w}$. Then one of the exact solutions in (46) could be given by

$$p = \frac{p_0}{r^2}, \quad p_0 = \frac{4}{\kappa^2 (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 4)} = \frac{4w^2}{\kappa^2 (w^2 + 6w + 1)}, \quad (47)$$

which gives

$$\nu = \frac{2}{\alpha} \ln \frac{r}{r_0} = \frac{2w}{w+1} \ln \frac{r}{r_0}, \quad \lambda = \lambda_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha - 4}{\alpha^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{w^2 + 6w + 1}{(w+1)^2} \right). \quad (48)$$

When $r \sim 0$, the scalar curvature R behaves as $R = -e^{-2\lambda_0} \left(\frac{8}{\alpha^2} + \frac{4}{\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{r^2}$. Hence, except $\alpha = -2$, that is, $w = -1/3$, there is surely (naked) singularity at $r = 0$.

We now consider more general case, as in (33),

$$\lambda(r) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \ln \frac{r}{r_0} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n r^{n-1}, \quad \nu(r) = \nu_0 + \nu_1 \ln \frac{r}{r_0} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \nu_n r^{n-1}. \quad (49)$$

By substituting (49) into (32), one gets

$$\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_1^2 + \nu_1 + \nu_1 \lambda_1 + \lambda_1 + 1}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}) = -\frac{e^{2\lambda_0 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n (r-r_0)^{n-1}}}{r^2} \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{2\lambda_1}. \quad (50)$$

Since the l.h.s. is only given by the integer power, in order that there could be a solution, $2\lambda_1$ should be an integer n : $2\lambda_1 = n$. We also find, if $n < 0$, there is no solution in (50), therefore $n \geq 0$.

When $n = 0$, by requiring the term with r^{-2} to vanish, we obtain $e^{2\lambda_0} = (\sqrt{2} + 1 - \nu_1)(\nu_1 + \sqrt{2} - 1)$. Since the r.h.s. is positive, $-\sqrt{2} + 1 < \nu_1 < \sqrt{2} + 1$. When $n = 0$ case, (29) and (30) gives

$$\kappa^2 \rho \sim \frac{\nu_1^2 - 2\nu_1}{(\nu_1^2 - 2\nu_1 + 1)r^2}, \quad \kappa^2 p \sim -\frac{\nu_1^2 + 3\nu_1}{(\nu_1^2 - 2\nu_1 + 1)r^2}, \quad (51)$$

which gives the following EoS parameter,

$$w = -\frac{\nu_1 + 3}{\nu_1 - 2}. \quad (52)$$

Unless $\nu_1 = 0$, ρ and/or p diverge at $r = 0$ and therefore there is a curvature singularity there. As we require ρ could be non-negative, we find a constraint $\nu_1 < 0$ or $\nu_1 > 2$. Combining this constraint with the previous one $-\sqrt{2} + 1 < \nu_1 < \sqrt{2} + 1$, we find

$$-\sqrt{2} + 1 < \nu_1 < 0 \text{ or } 2 < \nu_1 < \sqrt{2} + 1. \quad (53)$$

As w in (52) is constant, this case corresponds to $\alpha = 1$ case in (1). Combining (52) with (53), one gets $\frac{3}{2} < w < 2 + 3\sqrt{2}$, $-3\sqrt{2} + 2 > w > -\infty$. In the former case, w is positive and therefore, there does not occur any finite-time singularity. In the latter case, however, w is negative and $-3\sqrt{2} + 2 < -1$ and therefore this case corresponds to the Big Rip or Type I singularity.

On the other hand, when $n \geq 1$, we find

$$\nu_1 = \frac{4 - n \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 32}}{4} \quad (54)$$

Then from (29) and (30), we obtain

$$\kappa^2 \rho \sim e^{-n} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n} \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{r^2} = e^{-n} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n} \frac{n-2}{2r^2}, \quad \kappa^2 p \sim e^{-n} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n} \frac{\nu_1 - 1}{r^2} = e^{-n} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n} \frac{-n \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 32}}{4r^2}, \quad (55)$$

which gives the following EoS parameter

$$w = \frac{\nu_1 - 1}{\lambda_1 - 1} = \frac{-n \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 32}}{2(n-2)}, \quad (56)$$

which is constant again and corresponds to $\alpha = 1$ case in (1). Here Eq.(54) is used. In order that ρ is positive, one finds $n \geq 2$. When $n = 2$, ρ vanishes and

$$\rho = 0, \quad \kappa^2 p \sim -\frac{2e^{-2} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n}}{r^2}, \quad \frac{e^{-2} \left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right)^{-n}}{r^2}. \quad (57)$$

Since ρ is finite although p diverges, this case corresponds to Type II singularity, which corresponds to $\alpha < 0$ in the EoS (1). In the upper sign (+-sign) in (56), w is positive and therefore this case does not correspond to any finite-time singularity. In the lower sign (-sign) in (56), however, w is negative and it corresponds to Type I singularity.

Since ρ and/or p diverge at $r = 0$ in above cases, there is a curvature singularity there. If usual matter is a main component of the star, the singularity could be hidden even if the singularity could be naked when there is no matter. When the usual matter is stripped, if the interaction between the usual matter and the anomalous matter, which could generate the singularity, is weak, the naked singularity could appear. Due to the strong gravitational force, the singularity could attract the matter around the singularity and strong radiation could be generated.

We have investigated rather general singularities. In order to treat further general cases, one can now consider a reconstruction program. When we require p behaves as

$$p = P(r), \quad (58)$$

one can try to find $F(p)$ which realizes (58). Defining a function $G(p)$ by

$$\frac{dG(p)}{dp} = \frac{1}{F(p)}, \quad (59)$$

by using (58), Eq.(46) can be rewritten as

$$0 = \frac{dG}{dr} \frac{d^2G}{dr^2} - \frac{\kappa^2 r^3 P'(r) + 2}{r(\kappa^2 r^2 P(r) + 1)} \left(\frac{dG}{dr} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\frac{dG}{dr} \right)^3 + \left\{ \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{3\kappa^2 r^3 P'(r) - 2}{2r^2(\kappa^2 r^2 P(r) + 1)} \right\} \frac{dG}{dr} + \frac{\kappa^2 P'(r)}{2(\kappa^2 r^2 P(r) + 1)}. \quad (60)$$

Eq.(60) is the first order differential equation for dG/dr . By solving (60), one may find G as a function of r : $G = \tilde{G}(r)$. Then by using (58), we get G as a function of p by $G(p) = \tilde{G}(P^{-1}(p))$. Here $P^{-1}(p)$ is an inverse function of $p = P(r)$. Using (42) and (59), we find that the EoS is given by

$$1 = (\rho + p) \int^p dp' \tilde{G}(P^{-1}(p')). \quad (61)$$

Using the above equation, one may find the EOS dark fluid generating general singularity expressed by (58).

VI. DISCUSSION

In summary, we investigated dark fluid/scalar DE universe where all four known types of future singularities occur for the corresponding choice of EoS parameters/scalar potentials. The energy density/pressure of such DE is singular in the spherical spacetime at finite radius or at the center. As a result, the relativistic stars and/or black holes in such universe may become unstable which makes the corresponding DE model to be problematic as realistic theory for current universe acceleration. One way to overcome this problem is to modify the initial EoS in such a way that finite-time singularity does not occur or occurs in far remote future so that it does not influence star/BH formation processes.

The contributions to the FRW equations from usual matter could be neglected near the singularity. Then combining the FRW equations with (42), we find $-2\dot{H}/\kappa^2 \sim F(p)$. Hence, if the absolute value of $F(p)$ is bounded, there does not occur Type I, II, or III singularities where $\dot{H}$ diverges. One can achieve this by extra modification of the corresponding EoS. From another side, in the same way as in second work of ref.[3] one can add inhomogeneous (gravitational) terms which are relevant at the early universe, to the EoS so that the future universe becomes regular. However, the introduction of such terms effectively means the modification of gravity (for general review of various modified gravity models see [9]). Eventually, this brings us back to modified gravity as consistent DE model.

Acknowledgments

The work by S.N. is supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan under grant no.18549001 and Global COE Program of Nagoya University provided by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (G07). The work by S.D.O. is supported in part by MICINN (Spain) projects FIS2006-02842 and PIE2007-50I023 and by LRSS project N.2553.2008.2.

-
- [1] B. McInnes, JHEP **0208**, 029 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112066]; R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N. N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0302506]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **562**, 147 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303117]; S. Nesseris and L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123529 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0410309]; L. P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 2479 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0405020].
 - [2] J. D. Barrow, Classical and Quantum Gravity **21**, L79-L82 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0403084]; S. Cotsakis and I. Kladoudatos, J. Geom. Phys. **55**, 306 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0409022]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 1 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0405078]; Phys. Rev. D **70**, 103522 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408170]; Phys. Rev. D **72**, 023003 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505215]; J. D. Barrow and C. G. Tsagas, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 1563 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0411045]; M. P. Dabrowski, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103505 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0410033]; Phys. Lett. B **625**, 184 (2005); A. Balcerzak and M. P. Dabrowski, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 101301 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604034]; L. Fernandez-Jambrina and R. Lazkoz, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 121503 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0410124]; Phys. Rev. D **74**, 064030 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0607073]; arXiv:0805.2284

- [gr-qc]; P. Tretyakov, A. Toporensky, Y. Shtanov and V. Sahni, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 3259 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0510104]; H. Stefancic, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 084024 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0411630]; A. V. Yurov, A. V. Astashenok and P. F. Gonzalez-Diaz, *Grav. Cosmol.* **14**, 205 (2008) [arXiv:0705.4108 [astro-ph]]; I. Brevik and O. Gorbunova, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **56**, 425 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1399 [gr-qc]]; M. Bouhmadi-Lopez, P. F. Gonzalez-Diaz and P. Martin-Moruno, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 1 (2008) [arXiv:gr-qc/0612135]; arXiv:0707.2390 [gr-qc]; M. Sami, P. Singh and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 043514 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0605113]; C. Cattoen and M. Visser, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 4913 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0508045]; V. Sahni and Y. Shtanov, arXiv:0811.3839 [astro-ph]; J. D. Barrow, A. B. Batista, J. C. Fabris and S. Houndjo, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 123508 (2008) [arXiv:0807.4253 [gr-qc]]; J. D. Barrow and S. Z. W. Lip, arXiv:0901.1626 [gr-qc]; T. Koivisto, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 123513 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3399 [gr-qc]].
- [3] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 046006 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3519 [hep-th]]; K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *JCAP* **0810**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2575 [hep-th]]; S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:0903.2753 [hep-th].
- [4] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063004 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501025].
- [5] T. Kobayashi and K. i. Maeda, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 064019 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2503 [astro-ph]]; *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 024009 (2009) [arXiv:0810.5664 [astro-ph]].
- [6] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38**, 1285 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0506212]; S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **632**, 597 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0507182]; *Phys. Lett. B* **634**, 93 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512118].
- [7] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:0807.0685 [hep-th]; G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 046009 (2008) [arXiv:0712.4017 [hep-th]].
- [8] K. A. Bronnikov, E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov and O. B. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 064049 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1095 [gr-qc]].
- [9] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *eConf C0602061*, 06 (2006) [*Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115 (2007)] [arXiv:hep-th/0601213].